Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/868,116	TEPIC, SLOBODAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David L. Sorkin	1723	

All Participants:

(1) *David L. Sorkin*.

(2) *Paul R. Katterle*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *20 October 2003*

Time: *10:00 AM Eastern Daylight Time*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
rejection of claim 20

Claims discussed:
1-25

Prior art documents discussed:
art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Paul Sorkin
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Sorkin explained that claims 1-19 were allowable, claim 20 was not allowable, and claims 21-25 were withdrawn from consideration as non-elected. Mr. Katterle authorized an Examiner's Amendment canceling claims 20-25 to facilitate allowance of claims 1-19. .